## Sustainable Canadian Agricultural Partnership

Competitive. Innovative. Resilient.

## **Evaluation matrix**

for the Yukon

from April 1, 2023 to March 31, 2028









## **Evaluation of applications:**

- Either a project manager or a project evaluation committee evaluates and approves applications for funding.
- In evaluating the applications, the evaluators will:
  - ensure that applications meet the Sustainable CAP policy and objectives;
  - o consider project impacts along with benefits and risks to the industry and the public;
  - consider other factors such as funding allocations and availability, timing of application submission and external factors like for example the COVID-19 pandemic or climate change events;
  - o If a project evaluation committee is struck, its members will provide an industry perspective when evaluating an application; and
  - o ensure fairness and competitiveness as part of the evaluation process.
- The evaluation matrix outlines the criteria that evaluators use to assess and score applications.
- An application can score a maximum of 150 points.
- The funding offered to successful applicants is calculated based on the evaluation score received.

Score out of 150 (%)	Maximum funding level (for eligible components)
120 or higher (80-100%)	up to 60% of project costs*
105 to120 (70-80%)	up to 40 to 50% of project costs*
90 to 105 (60-70%)	up to 30 to 40% of project costs*
Less than 90 (< 60%)	No funding offered

<sup>\*</sup> Non-profit organizations and governments are eligible for up to 100% in funding.

\*\* Note: When an application gets a checkmark in the "not applicable" (N/A) column of the evaluation matrix, the final scoring will be calculated as a percentage.

For example: Some projects may not require industry and community support and would get a checkmark under the "not applicable" column. This means the application's final score would be out of 140 instead of 150.

For more information on the evaluation process, see Yukon.ca/SustainableCAP

	Yukon Sustainable CAP evaluation matrix						
Scoring metrics	Low Risk (10 points)	Moderate Risk (5 points)	High Risk (0 points)	N/A**			
Project timeline	Short term (< 6 months)	Medium term (6 months to 2 years)	Long term (> 2 years)				
Requested funding	Less than \$10,000	\$10,000 to \$25,000	More than \$25,000				
Project barriers	No barriers identified. Examples: Permits are not required Financing is not required Land/building is owned by applicant Equipment readily available No known restrictions Broad market, easily accessible	Some/minor barriers. Examples: Required permits have been obtained Financing has been secured Minor delay in acquiring equipment Some possible restrictions (travel, customs, etc.) Some market uncertainty	Several/serious barriers. Examples: Permits required Financing required Environmental Assessment required Land/building is leased Regulatory restrictions Limited market potential				
Applicant qualifications (general and project specific)	<ul> <li>More than 5 years related experience</li> <li>Well established operation</li> <li>Formal training in project area</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 to 5 years related experience</li> <li>Operating successfully with products on the market</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Less than 2 years' experience</li> <li>New operation with limited or no products on the market</li> </ul>				
Prior funding history/success	Completed prior projects successfully	Some issues with prior projects	No prior projects or significant issues with prior projects				
Project technical feasibility	<ul><li>Limited complexity</li><li>Achievable work plan</li><li>Realistic schedule</li></ul>	<ul><li>Some complexity; or</li><li>tight work plan; or</li><li>tight schedule</li></ul>	<ul><li>Complex project</li><li>Difficult timelines</li></ul>				
Industry impact/ competition	<ul> <li>Pioneer, no existing competition; or</li> <li>No competition with established businesses</li> </ul>	Potential for competition with established businesses	Could negatively impact established businesses				

	Yukon Sustainable CAP evaluation matrix						
Scoring metrics	Low Risk (10 points)	Moderate Risk (5 points)	High Risk (0 points)	N/A*			
Benefit to local agriculture industry	<ul> <li>High benefit, including:</li> <li>Proven utilization of locally grown products</li> <li>Opening of new markets</li> <li>Mitigation of negative impact on livestock, wildlife and/or the environment</li> <li>Improved biosecurity or food safety</li> </ul>	Indirect or limited benefit, including:  Utilization of some local products  Increased market opportunities  Some environmental or food safety risk mitigation	No direct or indirect benefit to local agriculture industry, including:  • No utilization of local products  • No new market opportunities  • No environmental or food safety risk mitigation				
Public benefit	Strong public benefit:  Significant increase in availability and choice of local agricultural products  Increased affordability of local agricultural products	Indirect or limited public benefit:  • Some increase in availability, choice and/or affordability of local agricultural products	No public benefit:  No significant increase in availability, choice or affordability of local agricultural products				
Direct benefits to under- represented groups	Project will directly benefit Indigenous Peoples, women, youth or other underrepresented groups (persons with disabilities, visible minorities, 2SLGBTQI+)	Project will provide some benefit to Indigenous Peoples, women, youth or other underrepresented groups (persons with disabilities, visible minorities, 2SLGBTQI+)	Project will not directly benefit Indigenous Peoples, women, youth or other underrepresented groups (persons with disabilities, visible minorities, 2SLGBTQI+)				
Precedent setting	Not precedent setting:  • Project clearly meets eligibility criteria	<ul> <li>Potentially precedent setting:</li> <li>Project clearly meets some but not all eligibility criteria</li> </ul>	Precedent setting: Project does not clearly meet eligibility criteria				

Yukon Sustainable CAP evaluation matrix						
Scoring metrics	Low Risk (10 points)	Moderate Risk (5 points)	High Risk (0 points)	N/A*		
Industry and community support	Strong support, including:  Letters of support  Project partners  Direct financial support  Other approved funding sources	Some support	None			
Funding impact	Significant increase in revenue	Moderate increase in revenue	None or limited increase in revenue			
Quality of application	<ul> <li>Clear project description</li> <li>Clear objectives and deliverables</li> <li>Sufficient background information</li> <li>Clear answers to all questions</li> <li>Budget clearly laid out and related to project description</li> <li>Quotes are included</li> </ul>	Some sections unclear or missing information	<ul> <li>Key information is unclear or missing</li> <li>Application is written poorly or there is the possibility of misunderstanding</li> </ul>			
Other concerns	None	A few concerns	Many concerns			